

Parks & Recreation Committee
Meeting Minutes
December 15 2010
7:30pm

Roll Call:

Present: Dan Russell, Arnold Palmer, Maegan Frantz, Frank Shipp, Ben Baskin, Lynnette Ziskin, Tricia Letendre. Town Officers Attending: Tim Szczepaniak, Kim Ireland

Meeting called to order 7:36pm

Review & Approval of Meeting Minutes from 11.17.10:

Letendre made motion to approve minutes from 11.17.10, seconded by Baskin – Ayes – All, Nays – 0.

Old Business:

1. Property Visit:

- A. Ireland & Shipp visited Lang Property
- B. Frantz visited Clark

2. Property Criteria Forms:

- A. Letendre is compiling information that has been submitted.
- B. Letendre will obtain rankings from Sawyer.

New Business:

3. Pros and Cons of four top properties reviewed.

Property	Pros	Cons	Misc.
Clark	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Near neighborhoods 2. Attached to existing park 3. Would have most \$ available for maintenance 4. Multi-use potential 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Puts parks in one place 2. Policing issues/costs 3. Neighbor issues 4. wet in back 5. Political Issues 6. Potentially limited usership – more people would use 2 parks 7. Location – no main road access 	<p>Acres 52 Cost \$412,000.00 Does the committee want to consider purchasing the house-51 acres for \$650,000.00?</p>
Kramllick	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Centrally located 2. Beautiful views 3. Bike Trail Access 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Safety issues with access off of Rte. 50 2. Grade in back half 3. Most expensive option per acre 4. Not mixed use 5. Pretty wet in some areas 6. Policing problems 	<p>Total 60+/- acres Cost \$900,000.00 Top half 30 acres = \$519,000.00 Bottom half 30 acres=\$390,000.00</p>
Lang	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Beautiful forest 2. trails already cut 3. Multiple use potential 4. Great main road access 5. Near Village 6. Best price per acre 7. Will permit reserves for maintenance 8. Will increase recreational opportunities & parks in Town 9. Covers different area of Town 10. Greater potential of economic benefit 11. Fields & Old growth 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. May be wet 2. May need a lot of work depending on plans 3. More rural 4. Northern part of Town 5. Policing problems 	<p>Acres 100 Cost \$600,000.00</p>
Palmer	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If we buy 3 parcels, the park would have a lot of land. 2. On snowmobile trail 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 3 different parcels 2. Needs road built and maintained by Town 3. Far west in Town 	<p>Acres 106.67 total 3 options A – 106.67 acres = \$850,000.00</p>

	3. Reasonably flat	4. Limited woods for walking 5. Best land is in back 6. Portion that's wet 7. A lot of costs to start programming 8. Policing problems 9. Contingencies in place	B - 80 acres = \$560,000.00 C - 55 acres = \$495,000.00
--	--------------------	---	--

4. Motion made by Ruseell to officially remove Kramlick property from consideration. Seconded by Frantz. Ayes - All, Nays - 0.

5. Committee to research the assessed values of the properties they are considering to identify tax implications.

Action Items:

1. Russell to confirm asking price for Lang's 100 acre proposal.
2. Committee members to provide Letendre with a criteria form for Lang's 100 acre proposal by 12.19.10
3. Letendre will obtain property rankings from Sawyer.
4. Committee members to provide Letendre with personal ranking order of remaining three properties - Clark, Lang & Palmer - by 12.19.10
5. Frantz to investigate Lakeridge Farm property.
6. Review report and refer comments to Letendre.

Adjournment:

All business complete, motion to adjourn made by Letendre, seconded by Palmer. Ayes - All, Nays - 0. Meeting adjourned 9:20pm.

Next Meeting: Wednesday, December, 12, 7:00pm, Ballston Town Hall